Galaxy simulation: more physics, more resolution, more sense ?

Michael Rieder, Pawel Biernacki Valentin Perret, Joki Rosdahl



# University of Zurich



- The origin of magnetic fields in galaxies (Michael Rieder)
- Modelling galactic radiation fields (Joki Rosdahl)
- A new recipe for star formation (Valentin Perret)
- Modelling SMBH in clumpy galaxies (Pawel Biernacki)

### The origin of cosmic magnetic fields

- Biermann battery sets the initial field at 10<sup>-20</sup> G (Naoz & Narayan 2013).
- Current magnetic fields in local galaxies reaches several 10<sup>-6</sup> G.
- High-redshift galaxies seems to have 10x larger fields, probably even increasing with increasing redshift (Bernet, Miniati & Lilly 2013)
- Successful large-scale dynamos are slow with growth rate  $\simeq 0.1\Omega$  up to  $\Omega$  Hanasz *et al.* (2004), Pariev et al. (2007), Gressel et al. (2008)
- Early galaxy formation MHD simulations with no or weak feedback show moderate field amplification: Wang & Abel (2009), Dubois & Teyssier (2010)





Wang & Abel (2009)

### Recent simulations of magnetic fields in galaxy formation

- Beck et al. (2012): GADGET code, new MHD solver, small scale dynamo as a source of fast field amplification
- Pakmor and Springel (2013): AREPO code, new MHD solver, large scale field with fast amplification. See also Marinacci et al. (2015).
- Rodrigues et al. (2015): semi-analytical models of galaxy formation, small scale dynamo as a source of random fields, followed by mean field amplification for the large scale field



#### Beck (2015)

### Supernovae feedback in dwarf galaxies

Supernovae feedback implemented using non-thermal energy dissipation (Teyssier et al. 2013) result in the formation of thick disks with V/sigma ~ 1, and a strongly reduced SF efficiency ( $M_s/M_h \sim 0.01$ ).

This is in striking agreement with the nearby isolated dwarf WLM (Eastman et al. 2012) although  $M_s/M_h \sim 0.001$ .

2

0

 $^{-4}$ 

-2





time (Gyr)

### **Turbulent dynamo in a dwarf galaxy**



### Magnetic field generation in dwarf galaxies



### A small scale dynamo?



### Saturation of the small scale dynamo



Ringberg 2016

**Romain Teyssier** 

### Suppressing feedback after 4 Gyr



Ringberg 2016

**Romain Teyssier** 

### A theory for the cosmic dynamo

In high-redshift, feedback-dominated galaxies with  $\sigma \simeq V_{\rm rot}$ , we obtain a small scale magnetic dynamo with growth rate set by the smallest scale, and reaching saturation on larger and larger scales.

If field reaches equipartition  $B_{\rm equ} \simeq \sqrt{8\pi\rho_{\rm gas}}\sigma \simeq 10\mu {\rm G} \left(1+z\right)^2 \left(\frac{M_{200}}{10^{10}M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/3}$ 

Saturation of the small scale dynamo in the corona is only at 10% of equipartition. The field is highly turbulent with a flat power spectrum.

Around redshift 2, for more massive galaxies, we have a transition from dispersion-dominated spheroids to rotation-dominated discs.

Formation of razor-thin discs, with competition between amplification through collapse and dissipation through reconnection.

Final magnetic field in the disc is toroidal and close to equipartition.

Later time evolution: magnetic energy is slowly decaying, or is slowly maintained by a large-scale dynamo. Observational characteristics set by the large scale dynamo.

# Radiation plays a role in shaping galaxies

Radiation driven feedback is invoked to model stellar feedback in current galaxy formation simulations. Only implemented through sub-grid models.



Hopkins et al. (FIRE)



Roskar et al. (2014)

Different modes of radiation feedback, modelled self-consistently using radiation hydrodynamics in RAMSES-RT (Rosdahl et al. 2011, 2015)



1- UV radiation heats the gas



2- UV radiation gives momentum to the gas



3- IR radiation can multiply scatter and gives more momentum

# **Galaxies that shine**

Isolated galaxy with 5 different photons groups, photo-ionisation and dust absorption.



Rosdahl et al. (2015)

- 10<sup>11</sup> solar masses halo
- 3x10<sup>9</sup> solar masses baryonic disk
- 50% gas fraction.
- 10<sup>6</sup> stellar and DM particles
- 18 pc resolution
- 0.1 solar metallicity

Feedback processes:

- thermal SN energy injection (no trick)
- radiation from the B&C (2003) SEDs.
- · HI and dust opacities

Radiative processes:

- photo-ionisation heating
- direct pressure from UV
- IR pressure from dust scattering

### The interplay between radiation and supernovae



Stromgren sphere around one star particle is barely resolved at or above star formation density threshold. Need stochastic feedback or new star formation recipe.



# **CLASSICAL STAR FORMATION CRITERION**

- Local Schmidt law:  $t_{ff} \propto 1/\sqrt{G\rho}$   $\dot{\rho_{\star}} \propto \rho/t_{ff} \propto \rho^{1.5}$
- Density trigger \(\rho > \rho\_0\) and efficiency are free parameters
- $[\rho_0, \epsilon]$  are fine tuned to match the KS relation
- In high resolution hydro simulations, common values are:
  - ▶ ε~1%
  - ρ<sub>0</sub>~100 cm<sup>-3</sup>

#### PERRET ET AL. (IN PREP)



# **VIRIAL STAR FORMATION CRITERION**

• Consider the local Virial theorem locally

 $\sigma_{eff}^2 + c_s^2 < \beta GM$ 

$$\alpha = (\sigma_{eff}^2 + c_s^2) \delta r / \beta GM (< \delta r)$$

$$\alpha = \beta' \frac{|\nabla . v|^2 + |\Delta \times v|^2 + c_s^2}{G\rho} < 1$$
$$\beta' = 1/2 \qquad \epsilon = 1 \qquad \dot{\rho}_{\star} = \frac{\epsilon\rho}{t_{ff}}$$

Hopkins, Narayanan, Murray 2013

See also Semenov, Kravtsov, Gnedin 2015



D∉iniatycriterion

$$|\nabla . v| = |\Delta \times v|$$

Devriendt et al. in prep.

- Benefit: this formulation does not depend on free parameters!
- Only depends on the ability to resolve the turbulent cascade

# **MERGER STELLAR FEEDBACK SIMULATION**



# **STAR FORMATION HISTORY**



# σ=0.09 σ=0.53

σ=0.13 σ=0.66

# WHERE ARE BORN THE STARS?



# **KENNICUTT-SCHMIDT RELATION**



### **CLUSTERING OF SF FROM RESOLVED TURBULENCE**

Mock SDSS ugr @ t=1 Gyr



### **CLUSTERING OF SF FROM RESOLVED TURBULENCE**

Mock SDSS ugr @ t=1 Gyr



### **CLUSTERING OF SF FROM RESOLVED TURBULENCE**



# **STAR CLUSTERS POPULATION**



# **SMBH dynamics in clumpy galaxies**



#### no feedback SMBH growth only



#### SN feedback only SMBH growth only

# **SMBH dynamics in clumpy galaxies**



# **Nuclear star cluster and SMBH coevolution ?**



# The interplay between SN and AGN feedback



Ringberg 2016

**Romain Teyssier** 

# Conclusions

- Small-scale dynamos appear as a viable mechanism to amplify primordial fields in feedback dominated galaxies. Need a critical resolution to see the dynamo.
- Saturation of the dynamo at 1/10 of equipartition in the galactic corona.
- Late time evolution: collapse back to a thin disk and finally reach equipartition.
- New physics: radiation hydrodynamics of galaxy formation. Very sensitive to resolution and adopted star formation recipe.
- Current sub-grid models of radiation feedback are probably optimistic.
- New star formation recipe based on local turbulence. High resolution is required to resolve the supersonic turbulence. More realistic star clusters ?
- SMBH models at higher resolution are tricky to handle. Dynamics in clumpy galaxy seems to point towards the need for NSC and SMBH co-evolution.
- Resolved ISM and SMBH feedback as the origin of fast cold outflows ?